isit:

arwells. We (W&S and DPW staff) decided not to drain them down all the y sediment in the clearwells. We were able to see the interior walls from a 1 about 3' water left in them (again – didn't want to stir up trouble). vented a close inspection of the walls and the bottom, as did the staining on

oth clearwells looked to be in good condition and likely will not require tion. A final assessment, however, will need to be made once the clearwells

orescence on the exterior wall of the building. Our structural engineer be repaired by drilling along the affected area and injecting a sealer. This rior.

down test to compare the results of the plant effluent meter - summary is in culation, there seems to be a slight discrepancy between the calculated leter slightly over-registering (2.5% and 5%).

flow meter:

42

 $ft = 462.53 \text{ ft}^3 = 3,460 \text{ gal/ft/tank} = 6,920 \text{ gal/ft (for two tanks)}$

	Calculated Flow	Flow Meter
2	227 gpm	239 gpm
	230.7 gpm	237 gpm

make sense to have the meter calibrated.

alues that OCDOH wants – VRI has not provided the requested information, ome charts of the clearwell level. They indicate the clearwell routinely drops numbers for our reply to OCDOH, though they're lower than we hoped. We against actual depth and it checked out – i.e. the clearwell levels indicated on curate.

nmend:

ll at a time.

nent; use blowdown valve to dump the tank during this procedure

ly and dump clearwell